APPENDIX I

[Vide page 1293 supra.]

Proceedings of the seventeenth Meeting for 1922–1923 *of the Standing Finance Committee of the madras Legislative Council held at Fort St. George on Monday, the 8th January* 1923.

PRESENT:

(1) The Hon'ble Sir CHARLES TODHUNTER, K.C.S.I., I.C.S. (Chairman).
(2) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR Avargal.
(3) ,, Rao Bahadur O. TANIKACHALA CHETTIYAR Avargal.
(4) Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD USMAN SAHIB.
(5) Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN.
(6) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR Avargal.
(7) ,, A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR Avargal.
(8) Mr. A. M. MACDOUGALL.

Read proposals for the provision in the budget for 1923–24 of Rs. 15,428 as shown below for additional establishment in the office of the Kallar Special Officer :—

	Per annum. RS.
One clerk on Rs. 65 per mensem	780
One shorthand allowance of Rs. 15 per mensem ..	180
One typewriting allowance of Rs. 10 per mensem ..	120
One shroff on Rs. 20—1—35	240
One peon on Rs. 12—1—18	144
Two educational supervisors on Rs. 50 each per mensem	1,200
Twenty industrial instructors on Rs. 35 plus fixed travelling allowance Rs. 8	10,320
Five instructors on Rs. 35	2,100
One peon for the Revenue Inspector on dharkast work on Rs. 12—1—18	144
Office expenses and tour charges	200
Total ..	15,428

The Committee are not satisfied that the necessity for as many as 25 additional instructors has been made out. They would recommend that the figure be reduced to 10 for the present. Subject to this amendment they would recommend that the scheme be entered in *class I*.

2. *Read* scheme for the extension of the special operations of the Labour department. Excluding *Loans*, the total demand was for 6·23 lakhs, made up of 3·73 lakhs in part I of the budget and 2·50 lakhs in part II.

29th January 1923]

The Committee would recommend that the expenditure for the department be limited to 5 lakhs in 1923–1924 and that a fresh detailed budget be prepared providing for the best utilization of this amount.

On the whole of this budget they would suggest that the question of the utilization as far as possible of the existing district staff (after expansion if necessary) be examined in lieu of the development of parallel lines of activity.

3. *Read* proposals for the construction of two blocks of 40 cells each in the Central Jail, Coimbatore. (Provision proposed for 1923–1924 is Rs. 68,000.)

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

4. *Read* scheme for improvements to the sub-jail at Russellkonda. The Inspector-General of Prisons proposed a provision of Rs. 10,300.

The Committee recommended that a provision of Rs. 6,730 should be made in the budget for 1923–1924 (class I) and that the balance be entered under class II (provision to be made in the budget for 1923–1924, if possible).

5. *Read* proposals for the construction of a Tuberculosis ward on the Spur Tank. (Total estimated cost is 2·58 lakhs of which ·56 lakh will come out of Sir Muttayya Chettiyar's donation.)

The Committee would recommend that a more economical scheme be prepared.

6. *Read* scheme for improvements to the Madura hospital (cost Rs. 21,300).

The Committee would request that they be supplied with fuller explanation of the necessity for the works now proposed.

7. *Read* scheme for post-graduate courses for Assistant and Sub-Assistant Surgeons (estimated cost 1·05 lakhs).

The Committee recommended acceptance of the scheme, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

8. *Read* proposals for the employment of three anti-malarial brigades in the Agency tracts. The Agency Commissioner asked for a provision for three anti-malarial brigades. The Revenue department recommended that provision might be made in the budget for 1923–1924 for at least one malarial brigade at a cost of Rs. 6,020 as an experiment.

The Committee recommended the latter proposal as one for which funds (Rs. 6,020) should be provided in the budget for 1923–1924 (class I).

9. *Read* scheme for the construction of a bridge over the Kolab river (Agency). (Estimated cost 3·57 lakhs.) The Revenue department recommended a provision of Rs. 30,000 in the budget for 1923–1924.

The Committee recommended acceptance of the scheme, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

10. *Read* proposals for the improvements to the sub-jail at Cuddapah (cost Rs. 15,590).

[29th January 1923

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

11. *Read* proposals for the construction of a Deputy Tahsildar's office at Ramnad. [Amount of estimate Rs. 82,000; provision proposed for 1923–1924, Rs. 10,000.]

The Committee recommended acceptance of the proposal, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

12. *Read* proposals for extensions to the sub-jail attached to the Taluk office at Karur, Trichinopoly district. (Estimate Rs. 16,980; provision proposed for 1923–1924 is Rs. 10,000).

The Committee would be glad to have figures of the average jail population and of the number of prisoners which the present jail will accommodate.

13. *Read* proposals for the construction of a residence for the Collector of Trichinopoly (estimated cost Rs. 30,000).

The Committee recommended the proposal as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923–1924 (class I).

14. *Read* scheme for the employment of two Sub-Assistant Surgeons to be attached to touring officers in the Agency (cost Rs. 5,384).

The Committee did not recommend the scheme for adoption (class IV).

15. *Read* scheme for the opening of three new dispensaries in the Agency division (cost Rs. 14,508).

The Committee recommended acceptance of the scheme, but did not regard it as urgent (class III).

16. *Read* proposals for the construction of police buildings (station house and huts) at Kodinga (cost Rs. 24,000) and Kotpad (Rs. 27,000) in the Agency. Budget provision proposed for 1923–1924 is Rs. 5,000 for each.

The Committee recommended the proposal as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923–1924 (class I).

17. *Read* note relating to the provision of Rs. 32,280 in the budget for 1923–1924 on account of the indent for Europe stores required for the Jail department.

The Committee recommended the proposal as one for which funds should be provided in the budget for 1923–1924 (class I).

18. *Read* proposals relating to the extension of the term of office of the Director of Office Systems.

The Committee recommended the continuance of the appointment for another year.

19. *Read* proposals for the appointment of two Stationery Inspectors for one year at Rs. 150 each per mensem.

The Committee decided to postpone consideration of the subject.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
10*th January* 1923.

C. G. TODHUNTER.